# INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE - STRUCTURAL

# 2015 Group A - REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ACTION HEARING RESULTS

#### S 1-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

**Committee Reason:** The committee agreed that Section 1505.10 could be interpreted as an exception to the other parts of Section 1505. Therefore the inclusion of Section 1505 to apply to roof gardens and landscaped roofs is appropriate.

Assembly Action:

# S 2-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

**Committee Reason:** The committee agreed that the new UL 2703 standard was appropriate and provides the test method for testing multiple panels for each racking system and that either standard can be used to establish a fire classification of the photovoltaic panel system.

Assembly Action : None

# S 3-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

**Committee Reason:** The committee agreed that Section 1508, which referenced Chapter 23, was not required as the information in chapter 23 is also in Chapter 15. Further, the committee agreed that wood fiberboard was the current industry term for cellulosic fiberboard complying with ASTM C 208, Type II.

Assembly Action: None

# S 4-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

**Committee Reason:** The committee agreed that keeping the codes current with updated referenced standards was important.

Assembly Action: None

#### S 5-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Disapproved

**Committee Reason:** The committee felt that removing Type I polyisocyanurate board would remove a product that is currently widely used in building construction without justification.

Assembly Action : None

# S 6-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

**Committee Reason:** The committee agreed that keeping the codes current with updated referenced standards was important.

Assembly Action : None

#### S 7-15

THIS CODE CHANGE WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-GENERAL COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

Committee Reason: The committee approved this proposal because it clarifies and improves the code.

Assembly Action: None

#### S 8-15

THIS CODE CHANGE WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-GENERAL COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Disapproved

**Committee Reason:** This is already allowed by Item 1. The reason statement appears to indicate that these could be unrated combustible panels, which is problematic. There are requirements based on fire separation distance, but there should also be a height limit. When does a fuel load become excessive? This is too open ended.

Assembly Action : None

# S 9-15

THIS CODE CHANGE WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-GENERAL COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Approved as Submitted

Committee Reason: This is a good catch. It deletes repetitive, redundant material that serves no purpose in the code.

Assembly Action: None

# S 10-15

THIS PROPOSAL WAS HEARD BY THE IBC-FIRE SAFETY COMMITTEE.

Committee Action: Disapproved

Committee Reason: The committee disapproved this proposal based on the following: No data was submitted to substantiate the need to these inspections; would result in unnecessary cost increase for relatively small buildings; on smaller projects these are evaluated by the code official; and code officials can require special inspection if they need to using Chapter 1 of the code.

Assembly Action : None